| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/631,977 | BELOKON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ted Kim | 3746 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Ted Kim</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Donald Hill, Jr.</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 11 August 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | • |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 1, 12, 20 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Mackay, JP '879 | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER see reasons for allowance. | AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | · |
| | • | |
| | | |
| Ma | | · |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |